



412th Test Wing



War-Winning Capabilities ... On Time, On Cost



RCC-CSG PPD Committee Update

15 May 2019

U.S. AIR FORCE

**Todd Jacob
812 AITS/ENIE**

**Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.
412TW-PA No.: 412TW-PA-19245**

Integrity - Service - Excellence



Overview



- RCC-CSG
 - Inception
 - CSG Charter
 - CSG Focus and Functions
 - PPD Committee
- Optimizing the Administration of Cybersecurity
- Impacting the ATO Process
- Efforts To Date
- Software Assessments
- Future Efforts





RCC-CSG Inception



- Initiated: Blue ribbon committee in the spring of 2017 at the Data Sciences Group (DSG) Data Protection Committee (DPC)
- Driver: Cybersecurity is a growing topic that deserves more attention
- Activity: The CSG has held seven meetings to-date and has 50 members with representation from the 19 Major Range Test Facility Base (MRTFB)

<https://www.wsmr.army.mil/RCCsite/OrgStruct/StandingGroups/Pages/CSG.aspx>



CSG Charter



- Addresses, supports, and guides the cybersecurity of the test and evaluation community in support of its mission
- Identifies common challenges, processes, and solutions to foster collaborative efforts which encourage standardization and re-use of appropriate solutions
- Comprised of key technical and cybersecurity individuals from test and support organizations who seek to reduce the overall cyber risk of our test infrastructure



CSG Focus & Functions



- Key Focus Areas

- identify and recommend cybersecurity resources for T&E infrastructure
- provide guidance to test organizations
- establish a forum for idea exchange
- recommend security engineering best practices
- influence cybersecurity policy and processes

- Key Functional Responsibilities

- **improving the test range accreditation process** and product submissions
- standardizing **inter-range reciprocity**
- regularly **reviewing the cyber threat environment**
- sharing best practices



Policies, Procedures and Documentation Committee



- PPD Membership
 - 19 members representing 12 ranges
 - Janae Roberts and Todd Jacob are Co-Chairs
- PPD Committee
 - Works to align standards, recommendations, examples, and reference documents aimed at **improving cybersecurity** and **optimizing the administration of cybersecurity** at member ranges
 - **Influences approving officials** from all services to converge on a common approach to accrediting common types of range systems
 - Focuses on compliance, design, process, resources, and training involved with obtaining and implementing **cybersecurity accreditation for range systems**
 - Identifies and documents common **architectural patterns and implementation practices** that improve the cybersecurity of range systems



Optimizing Administration of Cybersecurity



- RMF and Authority To Operate (ATO) Accreditation

The DoD uses the NIST Risk Management Framework (RMF) to improve cybersecurity of systems. Steps include:

- Prepare, Categorization, Select Controls, Implementation, Assess, Authorization (ATO), and Monitoring

The Accreditation Process requires documentation:

- System Security Plans (SSP), Configuration Management Plans, System Administration Policies, POA&M...

- Share Freely – Steal What You Can

- Share configuration management plans, software evaluation methods, policy documents, system boundary designs...



Impacting the ATO Process



- Interact with Approving Officials (AO) and Security Control Assessors (SCA)
 - Understand how ranges can improve accreditation packages to ease the approval process
 - Foster common approaches too reciprocity agreements between AO
 - Bring range-specific issues to the AO attention
- Work with TRMC on the creation of an RDT&E Overlay
 - A common set of controls can help with reciprocity
 - Would want range specific overlays (RDT&E is to large)



Efforts To Date



- Identified High Impact Problems
 - Software Assessment
 - Applying Cybersecurity Controls to Configuration Management
 - Process Comparison Between Services/Ranges
 - Common Documents
- Lexicon
 - Document Cybersecurity terms used by different ranges
 - Army CON, CSI-N, PAO, Zone-B, P2P...



Software Assessments



- Recommendations on Software Assessments
 - Methods of Evaluation (AFNIC, Scan-Install-Scan, Install on Hardened System...)
 - Risk Assessment Rubrics
 - Software Assessment Methods (CM process)
 - Catalog of Software Evaluation Lists
 - List of tested software

Impact	Mission Impact	Data Breach	or	System Performance	or	Delay
1	Fully mission capable	No Data Compromised		System Performance not impacted		
2	Mission capable	Unclassified		System performance marginally impacted, minimal analysis required to understand impact		Same day flights, ~\$XXX additional costs
3	Partially mission capable	FOUO		Loss of non-mission critical functionality, days of analysis required to understand impact		One day delay, ~\$XK additional costs
4	Limited mission capability	FOUO/New Technology		Loss of mission critical functionality		3 or 5 day delay, ~\$XXK additional costs
5	Non-mission capable	Classified data		Total loss of instrumentation functionality		Delay of greater than 5 days, ~\$XXXK additional costs
5+	Severe	Undetected classified data exfiltration		Any impact to production system functionality		Major delay to fleet test activities, ~\$XM additional costs

				Attack Success Likelihood		
				Rarely works	Sometimes works	Always works
Attack Cost/ Level of Effort				Low	Medium	High
				Occasionally works	Always works	
Nearly anyone can build: Nascent – Limited threat	Low cost to develop	Exists today	Easy to develop	3 Example: Network DoS	5 Example: Flash implant delivered via website/email	
Criminal level organization can build: Moderate threat	Medium cost to develop	Many can develop				
Nation state organization can build: Advanced threat	High cost to develop	Few can develop	Hard to develop	1 Example: RF inject of malware into sensor or radio	3 Example: Supply chain implant in HW or firmware	

Example Rubrics



Future Efforts



- CSG-PPD Software Assessment Guide
 - Targeting June 2020
 - Contact CSG-PPD if you have more immediate need
- Publish recommendations on cybersecurity requirements regarding Configuration Management
- Get Involved
 - Contact your Range Technical Representative to identify your local CSG member